

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,505	10/27/2003	Huci-Rong Huang	03217-URS	5426
33804 7	590 06/16/2006		EXAMINER	
LIN & ASSOCIATES INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			HALE, GLORIA M	
P.O. BOX 2339 SARATOGA, CA 95070-0339			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3765	
		DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/695,505	HUANG, HUEI-RONG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gloria Hale	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10-27-03 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract needs to be reviewed and amended to ensure that it is written in proper idiomatic English and to correct deficiencies such as in line 2. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: such as on page 2 lines 8-9 and throughout the specification wherein it is not written in proper idiomatic English. The entire specification needs to be reviewed and amended so that it is written in proper idiomatic English.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 2 it is not clear if the "outer fabric" is a layer or a portion. It is also not clear as to what "being of a piece body" encompasses or as to how the bra has "breasts. It appears that they are breast-shaped cups. It is also not clear as to what the "back strap" encompasses or as to where they extend or as to what the "both sides and back" encompass. In line 4 it is not clear as to what "an inner fabric" includes such as a "layer" or a "portion". It is also not clear as to whether the two bra cups are the same as

Art Unit: 3765

those described in line two or if they are additional ones. In line 6 it is not clear as to what "two steel rim straps" encompass. Are they steel underwires? Or as to what the "steel rims" are. It is also not clear as to what the "a set of clasp device assembly being a connection means at back" encompasses or where "at back" actually is. In line 9, "sewed" should read -- - sewn - - and there is no antecedent basis for "the lower edges" and in line 10, "the peripheries" and "the needed joints". It is not clear as to what "predetermined portion" is. Also, claim 1 is a product by process claim which is unclear and indefinite. If a product is being claimed only the structure of that device should be positively recited without the use of the method step language. If applicant desires to claim the method of making the brassiere then the claim should be written in clear method steps showing how to make the brassiere. The present claim claims both a method and a device which is indefinite, unclear and confusing. In claim 3 it is not clear as to what the clasp device assembly encompasses. Also the structure should be claimed without using method steps as discussed above in regard to claim 1. There is also no antecedent basis for "the lining", "the peripheries" and line 5 is unclear in regard to the lining piece. The claim should be written in an apparatus structure format as outlined in the preamble and all method step language should be removed. In regard to claim 4 it is not clear as to what a "sticking clasp" encompasses. "Can be" also renders the claim indefinite.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the The shoulder

Art Unit: 3765

straps of claim 2 and the sticking clasp of claim 4 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). The hot pressing device of claims 1 and 3 are also not shown. However, if the method steps are removed then they do not need to be shown. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gloria Hale whose telephone number is 571-272-4984. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues.-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 571-272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gloria Hale Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765 Page 5
